

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 CAROL A. DUNLAP,

Case No. 2:17-cv-00097-RFB-PAL

8 Plaintiff,

ORDER

9 v.

10 WELLS FARGO FINANCIAL, et al.,

(Mot Consolidate – ECF No. 14)
(Mot to Shorten Time – ECF No. 16)

11 Defendants.

12 Before the court is Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc.’s (“Experian”) Motion
13 to Consolidate or Limit Depositions (ECF No. 14) and Request for Order Shortening Time (ECF
14 No. 16). The court has reviewed the motions, Declarations to the Motions (ECF Nos. 15, 17),
15 plaintiff’s Response (ECF No. 20), Experian’s Reply (ECF No. 21) and Judge Koppe’s Order
16 (ECF No. 23) on the same motion filed in *Ashcraft v. Welk Resort Group, Corp., et al*, Case No.
17 2:16-cv-02978-JAD-NJK.

18 After reviewing the moving and responsive papers, and Judge Koppe’s order on the same
19 issue in the related action *Ashcraft v. Welk Resort Group, Corp., et al*, Case No. 2:16-cv-02978,
20 (ECF No. 23), the court wholeheartedly agrees with her well-reasoned analysis and decision.

21 **IT IS ORDERED** that Experian’s Motion to Consolidate or Limit Depositions (ECF No.
22 14) and Request for Order Shortening Time (ECF No. 16) are **DENIED**.

23 DATED this 9th day of May, 2017.

24
25 
26 PEGGY A. ZEEN
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28